

# Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy

# What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). 'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'."

# Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- Cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments.
- Define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments.
- Manage risks associated with non-examination assessments.

# What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

[

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- Task setting.
- Task taking.
- Task marking."

# Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

#### The Basic Principles

# **Head of Centre:**

- Ensures that the centre's *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment.
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents) appealing against internally assessed marks.

Examinations: Non-Examination Assessment Policy March 2023

I

# **Senior Management:**

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions.
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year.

# **Heads of Department:**

- Ensures Subject Teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process.
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by Subject Teachers.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by Subject Teachers in line with awarding body criteria.
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA.
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
  with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
  including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
  on the awarding body's website.
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects
   (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall
   entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for
   entries.

# **Exams Officer:**

 Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment.

# Task setting

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification.
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work.

# Issuing of tasks

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body.
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates.

- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times.
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates.

# Task Taking

# **Supervision**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution, and it must be possible to attribute accessible outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media.</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents *Information for candidates*.

#### **Advice and Feedback**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task.
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task.
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates.
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level.
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner.
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it.

#### **Resources**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates.

- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions.
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### **Word and Time Limits**

# **Subject Teacher:**

• Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory.

# **Collaboration and Group Work**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work.
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment.
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually.

#### **Authentication Procedures**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Where required by the awarding body's specification.
  - Ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work.
  - Signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met.
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector.
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs the Exams Officer.

#### **Presentation of Work**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions.
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work.

# **Keeping Materials Secure**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session).
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored.
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in <u>NEA 4.8.</u>
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking.
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means.
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.

# IT Manager:

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.
- Restricts access to this material and uses appropriate security safeguards.
- Employs appropriate back up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained.

# Task Marking - Externally Assessed Components

# **Conduct of Externally Assessed Work**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification which must be completed within a window of dates specified by the Boards.
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component.

# **Exams Officer:**

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any
  externally assessed non-examination component of a specification.
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body.
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations.

#### Submission of Work

# **Exams Officer:**

- Provides the attendance register to the Subject Teacher.
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly.
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work or is uploaded correctly and within the deadline.
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series.
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label.
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline.

# **Task Marking - Internally Assessed Components**

# **Marking and Annotation**

#### **Head of Centre:**

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person
  who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of
  their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships)
  or close friends and their immediate family.
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample.

# **Subject Head:**

• Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline.

# Subject Teacher:

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Accesses any training materials required for the assessment of this work.
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria.
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process.
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body.

#### **Internal Standardisation**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking.
- Marks to common standards.
- Provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline.
- Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline.

#### Submission of Marks and Work for Moderation

#### **Subject Teacher:**

- Provides Exams Officer with marks for inputting.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.

#### **Exams Officer:**

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
  a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline. Confirms with Subject
  Teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.

- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted. Confirms with Subject Teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
  - Work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body.
  - Moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging.
  - Proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results.
- Through the Subject Teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.

# Storage and Retention of Work After Submission of Marks

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample.
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results.
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place.
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

# **Exams Officer:**

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the Subject Teacher for secure storage and required retention.

#### **External Moderation - Feedback**

# **Head Teacher:**

• Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series.

#### **Exams Officer:**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff.
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration.

# **Access Arrangements**

#### **Subject Teacher:**

 Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments.

# **Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)**

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and</u> <u>Reasonable Adjustments.</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place.
- Makes Subject Teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments.

# **Special Consideration**

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate:
  - Is absent.
  - Produces a reduced quantity of work.
  - Work has been lost.
- Liaises with the Exams Officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments.
- Liaises with the Exams Officer to report loss of work to the awarding body.

#### **Exams Officer:**

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process.
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale.
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale.
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application.

# **Malpractice**

#### **Head of Centre:**

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff.
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures.</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work.
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments.</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social</u> <u>Media.</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the Head of Centre.

#### **Exams Officer:**

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments:</u>
  Policies and Procedures to the Head of Centre.
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</u> to Subject Heads.
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents.
- Where required, supports the Head of Centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice.
- Supports the Head of Centre in investigating and reporting any alleged or suspected or actual malpractice.

#### **Post-Results Services**

#### **Head of Centre:**

• Ensures the Centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results.

# **Head of Department:**

 Provides relevant support to Subject Teachers making decisions about enquiries about results.

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available.
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline.

# **Exams Officer:**

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication <u>Post Results Services</u>, <u>Information and guidance for centres</u>.
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information.
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.

• Collects candidate consent where required.

#### Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences

#### **Head of Centre:**

Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register
 Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all
 candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the
 prescribed practical activities.

# **Head of Department:**

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England.
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A Level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016).
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement.
- Disseminates information to Subject Teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately.
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit.

# **Subject Teacher:**

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood.
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place.
- Provides all the required centre records.
- Ensures candidates provide the required records.
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit.
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC).
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome.

#### **Exams Officer:**

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment.

# Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	HOD
Centre set task: Subject Teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that Subject Teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that Subject Teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	HOD
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates  Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria  Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	HOD
Subject Teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	Ensures Subject Teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOD
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	HOD
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates  Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	HOD
Subject Teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	

Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	SMG
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	SMG/EO
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm Subject Teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates  Confirm Subject Teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	EO
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SMG/EO
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO/ EO
Advice and feedback		T
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by Subject Teacher prior to starting on their work	Regular monitoring of Subject Teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity  Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component  Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	HOD
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by Subject Teacher during the task- taking stage	Regular monitoring of Subject Teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	HOD
A third-party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the Subject Teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and Subject Teacher are interviewed, statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given  Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	SMG

		1
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference	HOD
reference information from	information before work is submitted for formal assessment	
published source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information	
	for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	_
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft	HOD
references as required	the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information	
	for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate	HOD
late after formally supervised	to catch up	
task taking has started		
Candidate moves to another	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	EO
centre during the course	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
Resources		
A candidate augments notes	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected	HOD
and resources between	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	
formally supervised sessions	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are	
	collected in and kept secure between formally supervised	
	sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	HOD
acknowledge sources on	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	
work that is submitted for	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed	
	records acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits		1
A candidate is penalised by	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	HOD
the awarding body for	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	
exceeding word or time	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are	
limits	discouraged from exceeding them	
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them	
	on word or time limits is known and understood	
<b>Authentication procedure</b>		_
A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the	EO
the authenticity of the work	JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and	
submitted by a candidate for	candidates' work	
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
internal assessment		

Candidate plagiarises other material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments  Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	EO
Subject Teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for Subject Teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	SMG
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	HOD
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm Subject Teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring ensures Subject Teacher use of appropriate secure storage	EO
Adequate secure storage not available to Subject Teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to Subject Teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Maintenance
Task marking – externally		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	EO
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	HOD/EO
Task marking – internally a		1105
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	HOD

	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	EO
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	SMG
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course  Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	EO
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	EO
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	EO
A candidate wishes to appeal the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the internal deadline set by the Exams Officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	EO
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for	HOD/EO

Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by Subject Teacher	marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by Subject Teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	SMG/EO
Subject Teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	

This policy has regard to the guidance issued by the Secretary of State.

Walthamstow Hall policies are approved, ratified and reviewed regularly by the Governing Body in the light of statutory requirements.

Reviewed March 2023 Next Review Date March 2024

Signed: ...... Date: ... 17 March 2023....

Mrs J Adams Chairman of the Governing Body